

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,736	06/25/2001	Petar R. Dvornic	MIC35 P-321	2078
277 PRICE HENE	7590 12/22/2006 VELD COOPER DEWI		EXAM	INER
PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON, LLP 695 KENMOOR, S.E. MULLIS, JEFFREY C		EFFREY C		
P O BOX 2567 GRAND RAP			MIC35 P-321 2078 EXAMINER MULLIS, JEFFREY C ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE 1711	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1711	
			MAIL DATÉ	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			V	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
N. d. CAL James d	09/888,736	DVORNIC ET AL	DVORNIC ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		with the correspondence add	ress	
This application is abandoned in view of:				
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the off to a proposed reply was received on, but it does not to a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on	of Mailing or Transmission da of month(s)) which ex	ted), which is after the expired on		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely final Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	iled Notice of Appeal (with ap			
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not cons final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	stitute a proper reply, or a bo ee explanation in box 7 belov	na fide attempt at a proper reply v).	, to the non-	
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.				
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL)	and publication fee, if applica L-85).	able, within the statutory period o	of three months	
 (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, we, which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). 	vas received on (with	a Certificate of Mailing or Trai sue fee (and publication fee) se	nsmission dated t in the Notice of	
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balan	nce of \$ is due.			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$. The publication fee, if requ	ired by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·	
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.			
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37).	equired by, and within the thi	ree-month period set in, the Noti	ice of	
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mai	ling or Transmission dated	_), which is	
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	the attorney or agent of reco	ord, the assignee of the entire in	terest, or all of	
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting	in a representative capacity und	der 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter- of the decision has expired and there are no allowed c		and because the period for seek	ting court review	
7. The reason(s) below:		A		
		Jeffrey C. Mullis		
		J Mullis		

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20061220

Art Unit: 1711